

SETS OF LARGE DIMENSION NOT CONTAINING POLYNOMIAL CONFIGURATIONS

ANDRÁS MÁTHÉ

ABSTRACT. The main result of this paper is the following. Given countably many multivariate polynomials with rational coefficients and maximum degree d , we construct a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension n/d which does not contain finite point configurations corresponding to the zero sets of the given polynomials.

Given a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we study the angles determined by three points of E . The main result implies the existence of a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n of Hausdorff dimension $n/2$ which does not contain the angle $\pi/2$. (This is known to be sharp if n is even.) We show that there is a compact set of Hausdorff dimension $n/8$ which does not contain an angle in any given countable set. We also construct a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/6$ for which the set of angles determined by E is Lebesgue null.

In the other direction, we present a result that every set of sufficiently large dimension contains an angle ε close to any given angle.

The main result can also be applied to distance sets. As a corollary we obtain a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) of Hausdorff dimension $n/2$ which does not contain rational distances nor collinear points, for which the distance set is Lebesgue null, moreover, every distance and direction is realised only at most once by E .

1. INTRODUCTION

The distance set conjecture asserts that for every analytic set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) of Hausdorff dimension larger than $n/2$, the set of distances formed by E ,

$$D(E) = \{|x - y| : x, y \in E\} \subset \mathbb{R},$$

has positive Lebesgue measure. The problem was first studied by Falconer [2]. He showed that there is a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/2$ for which $D(E)$ is Lebesgue null. In the other direction, he proved that $\dim_H E > (n+1)/2$ implies that $D(E)$ has positive Lebesgue measure. According to the current best results, the same holds if $\dim_H E > n/2 + 1/3$ (see Wolff [12] and Erdoğan [1]). However, there are sequences of distances (d_i) , $d_i \rightarrow 0$, and compact sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension n such that $d_i \notin D(E)$ for every i .

There has been recent interest in studying the set of angles $\mathcal{A}(E)$ formed by three-point subsets of a given $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, see [5, 6, 7]. A particularly interesting open question is the following: What minimum dimension of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ guarantees that $\mathcal{A}(E)$ has positive Lebesgue measure? A simple observation is that results for the distance set conjecture give results for the angle set as well. Therefore, for every analytic set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$\dim_H E > \min(n/2 + 4/3, n - 1),$$

$\mathcal{A}(E)$ has positive Lebesgue measure (Theorem 3.6). In the other direction, we construct a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $\max(n/6, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{A}(E)$ is Lebesgue null (Theorem 3.5).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A78.

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff dimension, angle, distance set, excluded configurations.

The following question was raised by Keleti. How large dimension can a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) have such that $\mathcal{A}(E)$ does not contain a given angle α ?

It follows from a theorem of Mattila that $\dim_H E > n - 1$ implies $\mathcal{A}(E) = [0, \pi]$, and that $\dim_H E > \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ implies $\pi/2 \in \mathcal{A}(E)$, see [5]. It is also known that if E is an infinite set, then E contains angles arbitrarily close to 0 and π , see [5]. It is proved in [5], that (independently of n) $\dim_H E > 1$ guarantees angles arbitrarily close to $\pi/2$. Also, for some absolute constant C , $\dim_H E > C\delta^{-1} \log \delta^{-1}$ implies that E contains angles in the δ neighbourhood of $\pi/3$ and $2\pi/3$.

Harangi [6] proved that there is a self-similar set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $c_\delta n$ such that all angles formed by E are in the δ neighbourhood of $\{0, \pi/3, \pi/2, 2\pi/3, \pi\}$, where c_δ is a constant independent of n . He also showed that there exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim_H E = c\sqrt[3]{n}/\log n$ not containing the angle $\pi/3$ and $2\pi/3$.

The main result of this paper was motivated by the above question of Keleti. Instead of directly constructing sets of large dimension not containing a given angle, we provide a general method to tackle similar problems. Given countably many multivariate polynomials P_j in nm_j variables with rational coefficients and maximum degree d , we construct a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension n/d such that for every j and for every m_j distinct points

$$(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,n}), \dots, (x_{m_j,1}, \dots, x_{m_j,n}) \in E,$$

we have

$$P_j(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{m_j,1}, \dots, x_{m_j,n}) \neq 0,$$

(Theorem 2.3).

For example, applying this result for the polynomial

$$\langle y - x, z - x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)(z_i - x_i)$$

in $3n$ variables of degree 2, we obtain a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/2$ which does not contain the angle $\pi/2$ (Theorem 3.1). By the result quoted from [5], this is sharp if n is even.

Another quick application of the main result is Theorem 3.2; there is a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/4$ which does not contain angles α such that $\cos^2 \alpha$ is rational. Note that these include the angles $\pi/3$ and $2\pi/3$. Though the main result can be applied for polynomials with rational coefficients only, surprisingly it can be used to exclude ‘irrational’ angles as well: there is a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim_H E = n/8$ such that E does not contain a given angle $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$, see Theorem 3.4.

Our main result can also be used to strengthen Falconer’s construction in [2]. We construct a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim_H E = n/2$ such that the distance set, $D(E)$, is Lebesgue null, moreover, it satisfies the following peculiar properties: E does not contain rational distances nor collinear points, and every distance and direction is realised at most once by E (Theorem 5.3). Note that the distance set conjecture implies that if $\dim_H E > n/2$, then there is a distance which is realised at least twice by E , see Remark 5.2.

Falconer [4], Keleti [8], and Maga [9] constructed sets of full dimension in \mathbb{R} and in \mathbb{R}^2 which do not contain subsets similar to given three-point patterns. We show that a generalization of our main result implies the existence of such sets, see Section 6.

Once the main theorem (Theorem 2.3) is understood, the sections of this paper can be read independently and in any order. In Section 2 we prove the main result about excluding polynomial configurations. However, a necessary, technical but standard lemma is postponed until Section 8. Section 3 contains the results about

angles. Section 4 contains results about collinearity and directions, and Section 5 about distance sets. Section 6 contains a generalization of Theorem 2.3.

In this paper, in Section 7, we also present a result about finding many angles in sets of large dimension. We show that for every $\delta > 0$ there is $c_\delta < 1$ such that for every $n \geq 2$ and every Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim_H E > c_\delta n$, $\mathcal{A}(E)$ is δ -dense in $[0, \pi]$ (Theorem 7.1). The proof combines a theorem of Mattila and a result about concentration of Lipschitz functions defined on the sphere (related to Dvoretzky's theorem).

Finally, we pose open questions in Section 9.

Notation 1.1. For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, $\dim_H A$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension of A , and

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}$$

and

$$B(A, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{there is } x \in A \text{ with } |x - y| < r\}.$$

The angle set of $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}(E) = \{\angle yxz : x, y, z \text{ are distinct points of } E\}.$$

2. MAIN RESULT

Let P be a real-valued polynomial in nm variables. Let $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By writing $P(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, we mean $P(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{m,1}, \dots, x_{m,n})$. We will denote the derivative by P' , which is a map $\mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{nm}$. Partial derivative in direction $x_{i,j}$ will be denoted by $\partial_{i,j}P$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let P be polynomial of degree d in nm variables with rational coefficients. Let x_1, \dots, x_m be m distinct points of \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $P(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ and $P'(x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that for every sufficiently small $h > 0$ there is a set $E_h \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $B(E_h, h) = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $P(y_1, \dots, y_m) \neq 0$ whenever $y_i \in B(x_i, r) \cap B(E_h, h^d/(\log h^{-1}))$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$).*

It is worth to think about E_h as a locally finite set in which the distance of “neighbouring” points is approximately h .

Proof. We may suppose without loss of generality that P has integer coefficients only, and that $\partial_{1,1}P(x_1, \dots, x_m) = a \neq 0$. Let $r > 0$ be so small that

$$(1) \quad |\partial_{1,1}P(y_1, \dots, y_m) - a| \leq a/3$$

whenever $y_i \in B(x_i, r)$, and that $r \leq \min_{i \neq j} |x_i - x_j|/4$.

Let N be a sufficiently large positive integer. Let $L = N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let

$$A_i = B(x_i, r) \cap L \quad (i = 2, \dots, m).$$

Let $u = (1/(2N^d a), 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$A_1 = B(x_1, r) \cap L + u.$$

Suppose that $y_i \in B(x_i, r) \cap L$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Then $P(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is of the form j/N^d for some integer j with $|j| \leq CN^d$, where C is independent of N , and only depends on P and the points x_i .

Let $y'_1 = y_1 + u \in A_1$. Then

$$|P(y'_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) - P(y_1, \dots, y_m) - |u| \partial_{1,1}P(y_1, \dots, y_m)| \leq C'|u|^2,$$

where C' comes from the second derivative of P , independent of the choice of y_i . Using (1),

$$\left| P(y'_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) - \frac{j}{N^d} - \frac{1}{2N^d} \right| \leq \frac{1}{6N^d} + C' \frac{1}{4N^{2d}a^2},$$

end if we choose N large enough,

$$(2) \quad \left| P(y'_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) - \frac{j}{N^d} - \frac{1}{2N^d} \right| \leq \frac{1}{5N^d}.$$

Suppose now that $z_i \in B(A_i, cN^{-d})$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) for some small constant $c > 0$. By (2), there is an integer j for which

$$\left| P(z_1, \dots, z_m) - \frac{j}{N^d} - \frac{1}{2N^d} \right| \leq \frac{1}{5N^d} + C''cN^{-d},$$

where C'' only depends on P and the points x_i . Choosing $c = \frac{1}{20C''}$, we have

$$\left| P(z_1, \dots, z_m) - \frac{j}{N^d} - \frac{1}{2N^d} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4N^d},$$

that is,

$$(3) \quad \left| N^d P(z_1, \dots, z_m) - j - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

for some integer j . Therefore the distance of $N^d P(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ to the nearest integer is at least $1/4$, and $P(z_1, \dots, z_m) \neq 0$.

Let $h > 0$ be sufficiently small, and choose the smallest possible N so that $h \geq \sqrt{n}N^{-1}$. Let

$$E_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i \cup \left(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup B(x_i, r) \right).$$

Then $\mathbb{R}^n = B(E_h, \sqrt{n}N^{-1}) = B(E_h, h)$. If h is sufficiently small, then

$$h^d / (\log h^{-1}) \leq cN^{-d} = N^{-d} / (20C''),$$

and (3) implies that $P(z_1, \dots, z_m) \neq 0$ whenever

$$z_i \in B(x_i, r/2) \cap B(E_h, h^d / (\log h^{-1})) \quad (i = 1, \dots, m). \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $n \geq 1$. Let J be a countable set. For each $j \in J$, let m_j be a positive integer, and let $P_j : \mathbb{R}^{nm_j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a polynomial in nm_j variables with rational coefficients. Assume that d is the maximum degree of the polynomials P_j ($j \in J$). Then there exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension n/d such that for every $j \in J$, E does not contain m_j distinct points x_1, \dots, x_{m_j} satisfying $P_j(x_1, \dots, x_{m_j}) = 0$ and $P'_j(x_1, \dots, x_{m_j}) \neq 0$.*

Proof. For $j \in J$ let

$$G_j = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{m_j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{nm_j} : P_j(x_1, \dots, x_{m_j}) = 0, P'_j(x_1, \dots, x_{m_j}) \neq 0, \\ x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}.$$

For every point $x \in G_j$ we may apply Lemma 2.1. We obtain $0 < r = r_j(x)$ such that for every sufficiently small $h > 0$ there is a set $E_h \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $B(E_h, h) = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $B(E_h, h^d / (\log h^{-1}))$ does not contain distinct points y_1, \dots, y_{m_j} satisfying $P(y_1, \dots, y_{m_j}) = 0$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_{m_j}) \in B(x, r_j(x))$.

The sets $B(x, r_j(x))$ ($x \in G_j$) form an open cover of $G_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{nm_j}$. The space \mathbb{R}^{nm_j} is second countable, so every subset has the Lindelöf property. Therefore we can choose a countable open subcover for G_j . If we do this for all $j \in J$, we still have altogether countably many open balls (in various dimensions). Therefore we may fix a function

$$\varphi : \{1, 2, \dots\} \rightarrow \bigcup_{j \in J} \{j\} \times G_j$$

such that for every $j \in J$,

$$(4) \quad \bigcup \{B(\varphi_2(i), r_j(\varphi_2(i))) : \varphi_1(i) = j, i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}\} \supset G_j$$

where $\varphi(i) = (\varphi_1(i), \varphi_2(i))$.

Choose h_1 small enough so that it satisfies the assumption (10) of Lemma 8.1, and also small enough that the statement of Lemma 2.1 holds for the polynomial $P_{\varphi_1(1)}$, point $(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x = \varphi_2(1)$ and $r = r_{\varphi_1(1)}(\varphi_2(1))$. We obtain a set $E_{h_1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For $j \geq 2$, if h_i ($i < j$) is already chosen, choose h_j small enough to satisfy (10) and (11), and that Lemma 2.1 holds for the polynomial $P_{\varphi_1(j)}$, point $(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x = \varphi_2(j)$ and $r = r_{\varphi_1(j)}(\varphi_2(j))$. We obtain sets $E_{h_i} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$).

Let

$$E = B(0, 1) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(E_{h_i}, h_i^d / (2 \log h_i^{-1}))}.$$

Then $E \subset \bigcap B(E_{h_i}, h_i^d / (\log h_i^{-1}))$. Thus (4) and Lemma 2.1 imply that for every $j \in J$, E does not contain m_j points x_1, \dots, x_{m_j} such that $(x_1, \dots, x_{m_j}) \in G_j$. In other words, E does not contain m_j distinct points x_1, \dots, x_{m_j} satisfying $P_j(x_1, \dots, x_{m_j}) = 0$ and $P'_j(x_1, \dots, x_{m_j}) \neq 0$.

Lemma 8.1 implies that the Hausdorff dimension of E is at least n/d . \square

We can get rid of the assumption on the derivatives P'_j in Proposition 2.2 by considering a larger family of polynomials.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $n \geq 1$. Let J be a countable set. For each $j \in J$, let m_j be a positive integer, and let $P_j : \mathbb{R}^{nm_j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a (non identically zero) polynomial in nm_j variables with rational coefficients. Assume that d is the maximum degree of the polynomials P_j ($j \in J$). Then there exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension n/d such that for every $j \in J$, E does not contain m_j distinct points x_1, \dots, x_{m_j} satisfying $P_j(x_1, \dots, x_{m_j}) = 0$.*

Proof. Consider a polynomial $P = P_j$ ($j \in J$). Let y_1, \dots, y_{nm} denote the variables of P . Choose a monomial of P_j which has the same degree as P itself. Let this be $y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_r}$, where $i_k \in \{1, \dots, nm\}$ for $k = 1, \dots, r$. Consider the partial derivatives

$$\partial_{i_1} P, \partial_{i_2} \partial_{i_1} P, \dots, \partial_{i_r} \cdots \partial_{i_1} P.$$

The last polynomial in this list is constant and non-zero. Let $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be m distinct points. Notice that if $P(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$, then there exists an integer $1 \leq k \leq r$ such that

$$(5) \quad \partial_{i_{k-1}} \cdots \partial_{i_1} P(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0, \quad \partial_{i_k} \cdots \partial_{i_1} P(x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq 0.$$

We apply Proposition 2.2 for these polynomials $P, \partial_{i_1} P, \dots, \partial_{i_{r-1}} \cdots \partial_{i_1} P$, for every $P = P_j$ ($j \in J$). By the observation (5), the obtained set E has the desired properties. \square

Remark 2.4. For every positive integer d , there is a polynomial of degree d for which Theorem 2.3 is sharp. By a theorem of Mattila (see [11]), every analytic set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\dim_H E > 1$ contains at least $d + 1$ points in a hyperplane (in fact, there is a hyperplane which intersects E in a set of positive dimension). On the other hand, $x_0, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ lie in a hyperplane if and only if the determinant of the $d \times d$ matrix formed by the vectors $x_i - x_0$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$) is zero. This determinant is a polynomial in $d(d + 1)$ variables of degree d . Therefore Theorem 2.3 applied to this polynomial with $n = d$ gives a sharp result.

Remark 2.5. Theorem 2.3 is obviously not sharp for every family of polynomials. For example, let $P(x, y, z, u, v, w) = xyz - uvw$. Then the theorem yields a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ of dimension $1/3$ such that $P(x, y, z, u, v, w) \neq 0$ whenever $x, y, z, u, v, w \in E$ are distinct. However, there is such set E of dimension 1 as well.

To see this, apply the theorem for $Q(x, y, z, u, v, w) = x + y + z - u - v - w$, the compact set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ obtained has dimension 1, and put $E = \{e^x : x \in F\}$.

Remark 2.5 indicates that considering a diffeomorphism (between subsets) of \mathbb{R}^n with Theorem 2.3 can produce stronger results. In Section 6 we sketch a generalization of Theorem 2.3 which allows the use of multiple diffeomorphisms, and we give an application.

3. ANGLE SETS

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/2$ such that E does not contain three points forming the angle $\pi/2$. Moreover, E does not contain four points x, y, z, v such that $x - y$ and $z - v$ are orthogonal.*

Proof. It is enough to exclude solutions of $\langle x - y, z - v \rangle = 0$ when x, y, z are three distinct points in \mathbb{R}^n and $z \neq v$. Applying Theorem 2.3 for the polynomials $P_1(x, y, z, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)(z_i - v_i)$ and $P_2(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)(z_i - x_i)$ yields the result. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/4$ such that E does not contain three points forming an angle α for which $\cos^2 \alpha$ is rational. (Moreover, E does not contain four distinct points x, y, z, v such that the directions $x - y$ and $z - v$ form an angle α for which $\cos^2 \alpha$ is rational.)*

Proof. There are countably many α for which $\cos^2 \alpha$ is rational. For such α , consider the polynomials $P_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{3n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $Q_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{4n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$P_\alpha(x, y, z) = \langle y - x, z - x \rangle^2 - \cos^2 \alpha |y - x|^2 |z - x|^2,$$

$$Q_\alpha(x, y, z, v) = \langle y - x, z - v \rangle^2 - \cos^2 \alpha |y - x|^2 |z - v|^2.$$

Applying Theorem 2.3 for these polynomials yields the statement. \square

Remark 3.3. In the proof of Theorem 3.2, when α is not 0, $\pi/2$ or π , we could just refer to Proposition 2.2. This is because the derivatives of P_α, Q_α do not vanish where the polynomials vanish. However, for the angles 0, $\pi/2$ or π referring to Theorem 2.3 is necessary: this corresponds to the fact that for $\pi/2$ and for 0, π we have better results than dimension $n/4$ (Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1).

Though we allow polynomials with rational coefficients only, Theorem 2.3 can be used to exclude irrational angles as well.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $S \subset [0, \pi]$ be a given countable family of angles. There exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/8$ such that E does not contain three points forming an angle $\alpha \in S$. (Moreover, E does not contain four distinct points x, y, z, v such that the directions $x - y$ and $z - v$ form an angle $\alpha \in S$.)*

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.3 for two polynomials of degree 8, $P : \mathbb{R}^{8n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $Q : \mathbb{R}^{6n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where

$$P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h) = \langle a - b, c - d \rangle^2 |e - f|^2 |g - h|^2 - \langle e - f, g - h \rangle^2 |a - b|^2 |c - d|^2$$

$$Q(a, b, c, e, f, g) = \langle a - b, c - b \rangle^2 |e - f|^2 |g - f|^2 - \langle e - f, g - f \rangle^2 |a - b|^2 |c - b|^2.$$

We obtain a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/8$ such that there are no distinct points a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h in E satisfying any of the equations

$$(6) \quad \frac{\langle a - b, c - d \rangle}{|a - b| |c - d|} = \frac{\langle e - f, g - h \rangle}{|e - f| |g - h|}$$

or

$$(7) \quad \frac{\langle a-b, c-b \rangle}{|a-b||c-b|} = \frac{\langle e-f, g-f \rangle}{|e-f||g-f|}.$$

For each α , E may contain three points a, b, c forming the angle α , and/or four distinct points a, b, c, d for which $a-b$ and $c-b$ form the angle α . However, if we remove these points (at most $3+4$) from E , then the remaining set will not contain three points forming the angle α nor four distinct points determining two directions forming the angle α .

As these polynomials have degree 8, we obtain a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/8$. This set may contain angles belonging to S . But as (6) and (7) have no solutions in E , if we remove at most $4+3$ points from E for each $\alpha \in S$, then E will not contain three points forming an angle $\alpha \in S$ or four distinct points forming two directions of angle $\alpha \in S$. So if T is the countable set we remove (considering all $\alpha \in S$), then $E \setminus T$ satisfies all properties of the theorem except for compactness. Notice that, by Remark 8.2, E and $E \setminus T$ has positive Hausdorff measure with respect to the gauge function $\varphi(r) = r^{n/8}(\log r^{-1})^{n+1}$. By Frostman lemma (see [11]), we can find a compact subset of $E \setminus T$ which has positive φ -measure, and thus, is of dimension $n/8$. \square

Note that for $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the angle set $\mathcal{A}([0, 1]) = \{0, \pi\}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a compact set E of Hausdorff dimension $n/6$ for which the angle set $\mathcal{A}(E)$ has zero Lebesgue measure.*

The construction is similar to Falconer's original construction of a set of dimension $n/2$ for which the distance set is Lebesgue null [2]. However, the argument here is more complicated.

Proof. Let N_i be a rapidly increasing sequence of positive integers. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\|x\|$ denote the distance to the nearest integer.

Let $A_i = \{x \in [0, 1] : \|xN_i\| \leq N_i^{-6}/i^4\}$ (the power of i is chosen for convenience). Consider products of the set A_i and let

$$B_i = A_i \times \cdots \times A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Suppose that $a, b, c, d \in B_i$. Then $\langle a-b, c-d \rangle \in [-n, n]$ lies in the nN_i^{-6}/i^4 neighbourhood of some j/N_i^2 where j is an integer with $|j| \leq N_i^2 n$. Therefore

$$\langle B_i - B_i, B_i - B_i \rangle = \{\langle a-b, c-d \rangle : a, b, c, d \in B_i\}$$

can be covered by $2N_i^2 n + 1 \leq 3N_i^2 n$ many intervals of length $2nN_i^{-6}/i^4$. As $\langle B_i, B_i \rangle \subset [-n, n]$, a short calculation yields that

$$C_i = \left\{ \frac{\langle a-b, c-b \rangle}{\sqrt{|\langle a-b, a-b \rangle|} \sqrt{|\langle c-b, c-b \rangle|}} : a, b, c \in B_i, |a-b|^2 \geq 1/i, |c-b|^2 \geq 1/i \right\}$$

can be covered by $(3N_i^2 n)^3$ many intervals of length $3ni^2(2nN_i^{-6}/i^4)$. Thus

$$(8) \quad \lambda(C_i) \leq 162n^5/i^2.$$

Let $B = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$. Standard arguments imply that the Hausdorff dimension of B is $n/6$ (or see Lemma 8.1).

Let $x, y, z \in B$ be three distinct points, let $\alpha = \angle yxz$. Then

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{\langle y-x, z-x \rangle}{|y-x||z-x|} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} C_i,$$

and $\bigcap \bigcup C_i$ is Lebesgue null by (8). Therefore $\mathcal{A}(B)$ is Lebesgue null. \square

Any result for the distance set problem gives a result for the angle set problem as well. Independently from the author, this was observed also by Iosevich, Mouroglou and Senger.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Borel set with $\dim_H E > n/2 + 4/3$. Then $\mathcal{A}(E)$ has positive Lebesgue measure.*

Proof. Take a radial projection of E to a sphere S of unit radius with center $x \in E$, and let $F \subset S$ be the set obtained. Clearly $\dim_H F \geq \dim_H E - 1$, and thus the distance set $D(F)$ has positive Lebesgue measure by the results of Wolff and Erdoğan. If F contains two points y, z with distance d , then $\alpha = \angle yxz$ satisfies $d = 2 \sin(\alpha/2)$, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(E)$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}(E)$ has positive Lebesgue measure. \square

4. COLLINEARITY AND DIRECTIONS

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/2$ such that E does not contain three collinear points, moreover, every direction is realised by E at most once.*

Proof. Let $x, y, z, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and consider the polynomials $P : \mathbb{R}^{4n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $Q : \mathbb{R}^{3n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$P(x, y, z, v) = (y_2 - x_2)(v_1 - z_1) - (y_1 - x_1)(v_2 - z_2),$$

$$Q(x, y, z) = (y_2 - x_2)(y_1 - z_1) - (y_1 - x_1)(y_2 - z_2).$$

If $y - x$ and $v - y$ are parallel, then their projection to the plane spanned by the first two coordinate axes are also parallel, and thus $P(x, y, z, v) = 0$. (We consider the 0 vector to be parallel to every vector.) Similarly, if x, y, z are collinear, $Q(x, y, z) = 0$. Applying Theorem 2.3 for P and Q we obtain the result. \square

This statement can be strengthened.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n - 1$ such that E does not contain three collinear points, moreover, every direction is realised by E at most once.*

Note that the unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the first property: it has dimension $n - 1$ and does not contain three collinear points.

Sketch of proof. For $n = 2$ we are done by Theorem 4.1. Assume that $n \geq 3$. Let $E_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the compact set obtained from Theorem 4.1.

It is well known that there is a continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the graph of f (a subset of \mathbb{R}^2) has Hausdorff dimension 2. (In fact, Theorem 2.3 also implies the existence of such function by using the polynomial $P(x, y) = y_1 - x_1$, and then applying Tietze's extension theorem.)

A straightforward generalization is that for every uncountable compact set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ there is a continuous function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the graph, a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{k+m} , has Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H A + m$. We apply this result for $k = 2$, $A = E_2$, $m = n - 2$. We obtain a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $n - 1$ such that E projects injectively to $E_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (spanned by the first two coordinate axes). Then E does not contain three collinear points, nor four distinct points x, y, z, v such that $y - x$ and $v - z$ are parallel. \square

Remark 4.3. Theorem 4.2 is sharp. For every Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim_H A > n - 1 + s$ there are lines L such that $\dim_H(A \cap L) \geq s$ ([11]).

5. DISTANCE SETS

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n \geq 1$. There exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $\max(1, n/2)$ such that the distance set is a nullset, E does not contain rational distances, moreover, every distance is realised at most once by E .*

Proof. First let $n \geq 2$. Consider the polynomials (of degree 2)

$$P_r(a, b, c, d) = |a - b|^2 - |c - d|^2 - r \quad (r \in \mathbb{Q}),$$

$$P_r^*(a, b, c) = |a - b|^2 - |c - b|^2 - r \quad (r \in \mathbb{Q}),$$

$$Q_r(a, b) = |a - b|^2 - r \quad (r \in \mathbb{Q}),$$

and apply Theorem 2.3. The obtained set E does not contain rational distances (except for zero) because of Q_r , and every (nonzero) distance is realised at most once because of P_0 and P_0^* . Let $D^2(E)$ denote the squares of the distances realised by E . The polynomials P_r and P_r^* imply that $D^2(E) - D^2(E)$ does not contain rational numbers (except for 0). As $D^2(E)$ is Lebesgue measurable, Steinhaus theorem implies that $D^2(E)$ and thus $D(E)$ are nullsets.

For $n = 1$, one can take the same polynomials without squaring the distances.

$$P_r(a, b, c, d) = (a - b) - (c - d) - r \quad (r \in \mathbb{Q}),$$

$$P_r^*(a, b, c) = (a - b) - (b - c) - r \quad (r \in \mathbb{Q}),$$

$$Q_r(a, b) = (a - b) - r \quad (r \in \mathbb{Q}). \quad \square$$

In Theorem 5.1, one may exclude any given countable set from $D(E)$ the same way as we did in Theorem 3.4.

Remark 5.2. The distance set conjecture implies that for every analytic set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim_H E > n/2$, there is a distance which is realised at least twice by E . In fact, there are four distinct points $x, y, x', y' \in E$ such that $|x - y| = |x' - y'| > 0$. To see this, choose uncountable many disjoint Borel sets $E_i \subset E$ with $\dim_H E_i = (n/2 + \dim_H E)/2$. If the distance set conjecture holds, $D(E_i)$ has positive Lebesgue measure. There are no uncountably many disjoint measurable sets of positive measure, thus the statement follows.

Combining the polynomials in the proofs of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 4.1 we obtain the result stated in the abstract.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/2$ such that the distance set is a nullset, E does not contain rational distances nor collinear points, moreover, every distance and direction is realised at most once by E .*

6. SETS NOT CONTAINING SIMILAR COPIES OF GIVEN PATTERNS

It is straightforward to generalize Theorem 2.3 the following way.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $n \geq 1$. Let J be a countable set. For each $j \in J$, let m_j be a positive integer, let $P_j : \mathbb{R}^{nm_j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a (non identically zero) polynomial in nm_j variables with rational coefficients, and let $\Phi_{j,1}, \dots, \Phi_{j,m_j}$ be C^1 smooth diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that d is the maximum degree of the polynomials P_j ($j \in J$). Then there exists a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension n/d such that for every $j \in J$, E does not contain m_j distinct points x_1, \dots, x_{m_j} satisfying*

$$P_j(\Phi_{j,1}(x_1), \dots, \Phi_{j,m_j}(x_{m_j})) = 0.$$

For example, one can choose the diffeomorphisms to be multiplication by invertible matrices. Note that the entries of the matrices can be rational or irrational, but the coefficients of the polynomials are still required to be rational numbers.

Using Theorem 6.1, we immediately get an alternative proof to theorems of Falconer, Keleti and Maga about full dimensional sets in \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^2 not containing similar copies of given triangles.

Theorem 6.2 (Falconer [4], Keleti [8], Maga [9]). *Let $n = 1$ or 2 . Let J be a countable set. For each $j \in J$, let T_j be a three-point subset of \mathbb{R}^n . There is a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension n which does not contain a subset which is similar to any of the sets T_j .*

Proof. For each $j \in J$, there is an $n \times n$ invertible matrix A_j (a similarity) such that $\{a, b, c\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is similar to T_j if and only if $c - a = A_j(b - a)$, that is,

$$(A_j - I)a - A_j b + c = 0.$$

We choose the diffeomorphisms $\Phi_{j,1}, \Phi_{j,2}, \Phi_{j,3}$ to be multiplication by $A_j - I$, $-A_j$, and I . If $n = 1$, we put $P_j(x, y, z) = x + y + z$; if $n = 2$, we put $P_j(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) = x_1 + y_1 + z_1$. The compact E set obtained by Theorem 6.1 satisfies all requirements. \square

Remark 6.3. It is not known what dimension a compact set in \mathbb{R}^3 can have if it does not contain three vertices of an equilateral triangle, or four vertices of a regular tetrahedron. There is no non-trivial linear equation satisfied by all such three or four points, therefore the proof we gave for Theorem 6.2 does not work if $n = 3$ (or if $n \geq 3$). On the other hand, from Theorem 2.3 it follows that there is a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $n/2$ which does not contain three vertices of an equilateral triangle: one can use, for example, the polynomial $\langle y - x, z - \frac{x+y}{2} \rangle$.

7. FINDING ANGLES IN SETS OF LARGE DIMENSION

Theorem 7.1. *Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Borel set such that*

$$(9) \quad \dim_H E > \left\lfloor \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8 \log(8/\varepsilon)}\right) \cdot n \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Then for every $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$, E contains three points x, y, z such that $\angle xyz \in (\alpha - \pi\varepsilon, \alpha + \pi\varepsilon)$.

The proof combines a theorem of Mattila about dimension of plane sections, and a result about concentration of Lipschitz functions defined on the sphere.

Proof. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a Borel set of dimension s , and let $m < s$ be an integer. By a theorem of Mattila [11, Theorem 10.11.], there exists a point $x \in E$ such that almost every $n - m$ dimensional plane through x intersects E in a set of dimension $s - m$. Let S be the unit sphere with center x , and project $E \setminus \{x\}$ to S ; let $K \subset S$ be the set obtained. Then almost every $[n - s + 1]$ dimensional plane through x intersects K .

If K contains two points of distance $2 \sin(\alpha/2)$, then E contains three points forming the angle α .

Let σ denote the spherical probability measure on S . Let $\overline{K}_\varepsilon = S \cap \overline{B(K, \varepsilon)}$ be the closure of the ε neighbourhood of K in S .

First let us suppose that $\sigma(\overline{K}_\varepsilon) > 1/3$. Let $0 < t < 2$. By (9) we may assume that $n \geq 3$ and thus we can choose three points in S forming an equilateral triangle of side t . Considering a random rotated image of these three points, with positive probability, at least two of them are in \overline{K}_ε . Therefore \overline{K}_ε contains every distance

$0 \leq t < 2$. Using $\varepsilon \leq 1$ and the remark above, this implies that E contains an angle in every interval $(\alpha - \pi\varepsilon, \alpha + \pi\varepsilon)$.

Now let us suppose that $\sigma(\overline{K_\varepsilon}) \leq 1/3$. Define $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(y) = \text{dist}(y, K)$. Then f is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant 1. The median of f , defined as

$$\text{med}(f) = \sup\{t : \sigma(\{y : f(y) \leq t\}) \leq 1/2\},$$

is more than ε , as $\sigma(\{y : f(y) \leq \varepsilon\}) = \sigma(\overline{K_\varepsilon}) \leq 1/3$. Therefore, by [10, 14.3.4 Proposition], there is a plane L through x , such that all values of f restricted to $S \cap L$ are in $[\text{med}(f) - \varepsilon, \text{med}(f) + \varepsilon]$ and

$$\dim L \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8 \log(8/\varepsilon)} \cdot n - 1.$$

Specially, $S \cap L$ is disjoint from the closure of K . The neighbouring planes have the same property, and thus, by the above theorem of Mattila, we must have

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{8 \log(8/\varepsilon)} \cdot n - 1 \leq \dim L \leq \lfloor n - s + 1 \rfloor - 1,$$

so

$$s \leq \left\lfloor \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8 \log(8/\varepsilon)}\right) \cdot n \right\rfloor + 1.$$

This cannot happen if (9) holds. \square

8. LOWER BOUND FOR THE DIMENSION

Here we prove the statement which we needed in Section 2. Similar arguments can be found in [3, Theorem 8.15]. Note that the exact form of the conditions (10) and (11) is not important for the application in Section 2.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$ be integers. Let $h_i > 0$ be a rapidly decreasing sequence such that*

$$(10) \quad h_1 \leq 1/10, \quad h_j \leq h_{j-1}^{d+1}/6 \quad (j = 2, 3, \dots),$$

and

$$(11) \quad h_j \leq \exp \left(- \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} h_i^{-(dn+1)} \right) \quad (j = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Suppose that $E_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $B(E_i, h_i) = \mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{B(E_i, h_i^d / (2 \log h_i^{-1}))}.$$

Then the Hausdorff dimension of E is at least n/d .

Remark 8.2. In fact, we will prove that for any ball $B(x, 1)$ of unit radius, $E \cap B(x, 1)$ has positive Hausdorff measure with respect to the gauge function $r \mapsto r^{n/d} (\log r^{-1})^{n+1}$. By modifying the assumption on the sequence (h_i) in Lemma 8.1, one can prove the same statement for any other gauge function φ satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r) / r^{n/d} = \infty.$$

The proof of Lemma 8.1 is straightforward but includes tedious calculations.

Proof. Let E'_i be a maximal subset of E_i in which every two points have distance at least h . Then $B(E'_i, 2h) = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $b_i = h_i^d / (2 \log h_i^{-1})$. Then $h_i^{d+1}/2 \leq b_i \leq h_i^d/4$.

There exists a constant $C > 0$, which depends only on n , such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r > 0$,

$$(12) \quad \#(B(x, r) \cap E'_i) \leq Cr^n h_i^{-n} \quad \text{if } h_i/4 \leq r.$$

Therefore

$$(13) \quad \#\{y \in E'_i : B(x, r) \cap B(y, b_i) \neq \emptyset\} \leq C2^n r^n h_i^{-n} \quad \text{if } h_i/4 \leq r.$$

Similarly, there exists a constant $c > 0$ which depends only on n such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r > 0$,

$$(14) \quad \#\{y \in E'_i : B(y, b_i) \subset B(x, r)\} \geq \lceil cr^n h_i^{-n} \rceil \quad \text{if } 3h_i \leq r.$$

Fix an arbitrary $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $E'_0 = \{x_0\}$. Let $b_0 = 1$.

By (14), for every $i \geq 0$ and $x \in E'_i$, there are at least $\lceil cb_i^n h_{i+1}^{-n} \rceil$ points $y \in E'_{i+1}$ such that $B(y, b_{i+1}) \subset B(x, b_i)$. By recursion we define sets $E''_i \subset E'_i$. Let $E''_0 = E'_0$. For $i \geq 0$, let E''_{i+1} be a minimal subset of E'_{i+1} such that for every $x \in E''_i$, there are exactly $\lceil cb_i^n h_{i+1}^{-n} \rceil$ points $y \in E''_{i+1}$ with $B(y, b_{i+1}) \subset B(x, b_i)$. Thus

$$(15) \quad \#E''_k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \lceil cb_i^n h_{i+1}^{-n} \rceil.$$

Note that $3h_{i+1} \leq b_i$, and $3h_1 \leq 1$.

Let $F_i = \overline{B(E''_i, b_i)}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots$). These sets are finite unions of disjoint closed balls, and $F_0 \supset F_1 \supset \dots$. Let $F = \bigcap F_i$. As $F \subset E$, it is enough to prove that F has Hausdorff dimension at least n/d . Let $F^k = \bigcap_{i=1}^k F_i$. Let λ stand for the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Note that F_0 is a ball of unit radius. By (15),

$$(16) \quad \lambda(F^k) = b_k^n \lambda(F_0) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \lceil cb_i^n h_{i+1}^{-n} \rceil.$$

Consider now a ball $B(x, r)$ with $r \leq h_1/4$. Let j be such that $h_{j+1}/4 \leq r \leq h_j/4$. Then there exists at most one point $y \in E''_j$ for which $B(y, b_j) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore there are at most $\lceil cb_j^n h_{j+1}^{-n} \rceil$ points $z \in E''_{j+1}$ for which $B(z, b_{j+1}) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, (13) gives that there are at most $C2^n r^n h_{j+1}^{-n}$ points $z \in E''_{j+1}$ for which $B(z, b_{j+1}) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset$. From the definition of the sets E''_i we obtain

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(B(x, r) \cap F^k) &\leq \#\{y \in E''_k : B(y, b_k) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset\} b_k^n \lambda(F_0) \\ &\leq \min(\lceil cb_j^n h_{j+1}^{-n} \rceil, C2^n r^n h_{j+1}^{-n}) \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{k-1} \lceil cb_i^n h_{i+1}^{-n} \rceil \right) b_k^n \lambda(F_0). \end{aligned}$$

for $k > j$. From (17) and (16),

$$(18) \quad \frac{\lambda(B(x, r) \cap F^k)}{\lambda(F^k)} \leq \min(\lceil cb_j^n h_{j+1}^{-n} \rceil, C2^n r^n h_{j+1}^{-n}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \lceil cb_i^n h_{i+1}^{-n} \rceil^{-1} \right)$$

$$(19) \quad \leq \min(1, C2^n r^n h_{j+1}^{-n} \lceil cb_j^n h_{j+1}^{-n} \rceil^{-1}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \lceil cb_i^n h_{i+1}^{-n} \rceil^{-1} \right)$$

$$(20) \quad \leq \min(1, Cc^{-1}2^n r^n b_j^{-n}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} c^{-1} b_i^{-n} h_{i+1}^n \right)$$

$$(21) \quad = \min(1, Cc^{-1}2^n r^n b_j^{-n}) h_j^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} c^{-1} b_i^{-n} h_i^n \right) h_1^{-n}$$

$$(22) \quad = \min(1, Cc^{-1}2^n r^n b_j^{-n}) h_j^n c_{j-1},$$

by setting $c_{j-1} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} c^{-1} b_i^{-n} h_i^n \right) h_1^{-n}$. Using $b_i \geq h_i^{d+1}/2$,

$$c_{j-1} \leq c^{-j+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} 2^n h_i^{-dn} \right) h_1^{-n} = (2^n/c)^{j-1} h_1^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} h_i^{-dn} \leq C' \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} h_i^{-dn-1}$$

for some constant C' depending on c . Therefore, by (11),

$$(23) \quad c_{j-1}/C' \leq \log h_j^{-1}$$

First suppose that $h_j^d \leq r \leq h_j/4$. Then $c_{j-1}/C' \leq \log h_j^{-1} \leq \log r^{-1}$, and (22) implies

$$(24) \quad \frac{\lambda(B(x, r) \cap F^k)}{\lambda(F^k)} \leq h_j^n c_{j-1} \leq r^{n/d} c_{j-1} \leq C' r^{n/d} \log r^{-1},$$

provided that $k > j$.

Now suppose that $h_{j+1}/4 \leq r \leq h_j^d$. Then (22) and (23) imply

$$(25) \quad \frac{\lambda(B(x, r) \cap F^k)}{\lambda(F^k)} \leq C c^{-1} 2^n r^n b_j^{-n} h_j^n c_{j-1}$$

$$(26) \quad \leq C c^{-1} 2^n c_{j-1} 2^n (\log h_j^{-1})^n h_j^{-dn} h_j^n r^n$$

$$(27) \quad \leq C c^{-1} 4^n C' (\log h_j^{-1})^{n+1} h_j^{(1-d)n} r^n$$

$$(28) \quad \leq C c^{-1} 4^n C' (\log h_j^{-1})^{n+1} r^{n/d}$$

as $r \leq h_j^d$ and $d \geq 1$. Since $h_j^{-1} \leq r^{-1/d}$, from (28) we obtain

$$(29) \quad \frac{\lambda(B(x, r) \cap F^k)}{\lambda(F^k)} \leq C c^{-1} 4^n C' d^{-(n+1)} r^{n/d} (\log r^{-1})^{n+1},$$

provided that $k > j$. Combining (24) and (29), for every ball $B(x, r)$ with $r < h_1/4$, for k large enough,

$$(30) \quad \frac{\lambda(B(x, r) \cap F^k)}{\lambda(F^k)} \leq C'' r^{n/d} (\log r^{-1})^{n+1},$$

for some constant C'' (which depends only on n).

For each $k \geq 1$ we define a Borel probability measure μ_k by setting $\mu_k(A) = \lambda(A \cap F^k)/\lambda(F^k)$ for every Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let μ be a weak limit of a convergent subsequence of (μ_k) . Then μ is supported on F , and it satisfies $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq C'' r^{n/d} (\log r^{-1})^{n+1}$. The mass distribution principle implies that F has Hausdorff dimension at least n/d . (In fact, we conclude that the Hausdorff measure of F corresponding to the gauge function $r \mapsto r^{n/d} (\log r^{-1})^{n+1}$ is positive.) \square

9. OPEN QUESTIONS

The first question would be a natural strengthening of Theorem 7.1.

Question 9.1. *Is it true that for every $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ there is a constant $c_\alpha < 1$ such that for every $n \geq 2$, for every Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim_H E > c_\alpha n$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(E)$?*

Note that if $\dim_H E > n - 1$ then $\mathcal{A}(E) = [0, \pi]$, so Question 9.1 is not relevant for small n .

Question 9.2. *Is there a constant C such that every Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $n/2 + C$ contains every angle in $(0, \pi)$?*

By Theorem 3.6, $\mathcal{A}(E)$ has positive Lebesgue measure if, for example, $C = 4/3$. By Theorem 3.1, there is a compact set of dimension $n/2$ which does not contain the angle $\pi/2$.

Question 9.3. *Let $n \geq 2$. Is it true that every Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension larger than $n/2$ contains the right angle?*

This is known to be true if n is even, see [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] B. ERDOĞAN, A bilinear Fourier extension theorem and applications to the distance set problem, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **23** (2005), 1411–1425.
- [2] K.J. FALCONER, On the Hausdorff dimensions of distance sets, *Mathematika* **32** (1985), 206–212.
- [3] K.J. FALCONER, *Geometry of Fractal Sets*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [4] K.J. FALCONER, On a problem of Erdős on fractal combinatorial geometry, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **59** (1992), 142–148.
- [5] V. HARANGI, T. KELETI, G. KISS, P. MAGA, A. MÁTHÉ, P. MATTILA, B. STRENNER, How large dimension guarantees a given angle?, [arXiv:1101.1426](https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.1426)
- [6] V. HARANGI, Large dimensional sets not containing a given angle, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **9** (2011), no. 4, 757–764.
- [7] A. IOSEVICH, M. MOURGOGLOU, E. PALSSON, On angles determined by fractal subsets of the Euclidean space via Sobolev bounds for bi-linear operators, [arXiv:1110.6792v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.6792v1)
- [8] T. KELETI, Construction of 1-dimensional subsets of the reals not containing similar copies of given patterns, *Anal. PDE* **1** (2008), no. 1, 29–33.
- [9] P. MAGA, Full dimensional sets without given patterns, *Real Anal. Exchange* **36** (2010), 79–90.
- [10] J. MATOUŠEK, *Lectures on Discrete Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 212, Springer, 2002.
- [11] P. MATTILA, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [12] T. WOLFF, Decay of circular means of Fourier transforms of measures, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **10** (1999), 547–567.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY, CV4 7AL, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: A.Mathe@warwick.ac.uk